Case 3:08-cv-01859-IEG-AJB Document 9 Filed 11/06/08 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4	Craig S. Summers (SBN 108688) craig.summers@kmob.com Irfan A. Lateef (SBN 204004) irfan.lateef@kmob.com Andrew J. Hall (SBN 246984) andrew.hall@kmob.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, I	LP	
5	2040 Main Street, 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614		
6	Telephone: 949-760-0404 Facsimile: 949-760-9502		
7	John W. Holcomb (SBN 172121) john.holcomb@kmob.com		
8	KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, I 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 700	LLP	
9 10	Riverside, CA 92501 Telephone: 951-781-9231 Facsimile: 949-760-9502		
11	Attorneys for Defendant TOSHIBA AMERICA, INC.		
12			
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	GUARDIAN MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.,) Case No. 08 CV 1859 W RBB	
17 18	Plaintiff,) ANSWER OF DEFENDANT TOSHIBA) AMERICA, INC.	
19	V.)) and	
20	PHILIPS ELECTRONIC NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION and TOSHIBA AMERICA CONSUMER) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
21	PRODUCTS, L.L.C. and		
22	TOSHIBA AMERICA, INC.,)	
23	Defendants.) _)	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
28 I			

4

Defendant TOSHIBA AMERICA, INC. ("TAI") hereby answers the Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement filed by Plaintiff GUARDIAN MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. ("Guardian"), as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. TAI lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint.
- 2. TAI lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint.
- 3. TAI denies that Defendant TOSHIBA AMERICA CONSUMER PRODUCTS, L.L.C. is a limited liability corporation; it is a limited liability company. TAI admits the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint.
 - 4. TAI admits the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. TAI admits that the Amended Complaint purports to assert a claim for patent infringement. TAI admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action.
- 6. TAI admits that the Court has personal jurisdiction over it, but TAI denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint.

III. BACKGROUND FACTS

7. TAI admits that Exhibit A attached to the Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of U.S. Patent No. 4,930,158 (the "158 patent"), which is entitled "Selective Video Playing System" and bears an issue date of May 29, 1990. TAI lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint.

///

///

8. TAI lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint.

- 9. TAI lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.
- 10. TAI admits that no entity can be liable for alleged infringement of the '158 patent with respect to any activities occurring after August 29, 2008. However, TAI denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

IV. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '158 PATENT

- 11. TAI incorporates by reference its responses above to Paragraphs 1-10 as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. TAI denies the allegations against TAI of Paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint, and lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint.
- 13. TAI denies the allegations against TAI of Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint, and lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint.
- 14. TAI denies the allegations against TAI of Paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint, and lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint, and on that basis, denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint.

V. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

TAI hereby asserts and interposes the following affirmative defenses to the claim of infringement of the '158 patent, asserted by Guardian against TAI in the Amended Complaint:

1	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(No Infringement of the '158 Patent)		
3	15. TAI does not and has not infringed, induced others to infringe, or contributed		
4	to the infringement of any valid claim of the '158 patent.		
5	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	(Invalidity of the '158 Patent)		
7	16. Each and every claim of the '158 patent is invalid for failing to meet one or		
8	more of the requirements of Title 35 of the United States Code, including, without limitation,		
9	those specified in §§ 102, 103, and 112.		
10	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
11	(Laches)		
12	17. Guardian's claims are barred by the doctrine of laches due to Guardian's		
13	extensive and prejudicial delay in bringing its claims.		
14	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
15	(Equitable Estoppel)		
16	18. Guardian's claims are barred by the doctrine of equitable estoppel due to		
17	Guardian's extensive and prejudicial delay in bringing its claims.		
18	VI. <u>DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT</u>		
19	WHEREFORE, TAI demands judgment in its favor, and against Guardian, providing		
20	the following relief:		
21	A. That Guardian take nothing by way of its Amended Complaint and that all		
22	claims against TAI be dismissed with prejudice;		
23	B. A declaration that TAI has not infringed U.S. Patent No. 4,930,158;		
24	C. A declaration that U.S. Patent No. 4,930,158 is invalid;		
25	D. A declaration that U.S. Patent No. 4,930,158 is unenforceable due to laches		
26	and/or equitable estoppel;		
27	E. A declaration that this is an "exceptional case" pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;		
28	///		

1	F. That TAI recover its attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action pursua	
2	to 35 U.S.C. § 285;	
3	G. That the Court grant TAI s	uch other and further relief as it may deem just and
4	proper.	
5	5 F	KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
6	5	
7	Dated: <u>November 6, 2008</u> F	By: <u>/s/ John W. Holcomb</u> Craig S. Summers
8	3	John W. Holcomb Irfan A. Lateef
9		Andrew J. Hall Attorneys for Defendant TOSHIBA AMERICA,
10		NC.
11		
12	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
13	Defendant TOSHIBA AMERICA, INC. hereby demands a trial by jury with respect to	
14	all claims triable by a jury in the above-captioned action.	
15	5 F	KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
16	5	
17	Dated: November 6, 2008	By: <u>/s/ John W. Holcomb</u> Craig S. Summers
18	3	John W. Holcomb Irfan A. Lateef
19	\parallel	Andrew J. Hall Attorneys for Defendant TOSHIBA AMERICA,
20		NC.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 On November 6, 2008, I caused the ANSWER OF DEFENDANT TOSHIBA 3 AMERICA, INC. and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL to be electronically filed with the 4 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send electronic notification of such 5 filing to the following persons: 6 Michael I. Neil Barry Bumgardner Brent Bumgardner Hugh A. McCabe Edward E. Casto, Jr. 7 David P. Hall Neil, Dymott, Frank, McFall & Trexler, Nelson Bumgardner Casto, P.C. 8 5601 Bridge Street, Suite 300 **APLC** 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 2500 Fort Worth, TX 76112 9 San Diego, CA 92101-4959 Telephone: 817-377-9111 Telephone: 619-238-1712 Facsimile: 817-377-3485 Facsimile: 619-238-1562 barry@nbclaw.net 10 hmccabe@neildymott.com bbumgardner@nbclaw.net 11 ecasto@nbclaw.net 12 13 Executed on November 6, 2008 at Riverside, California. 14 15 /s/ John W. Holcomb John W. Holcomb 16 6163486 1 110508 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28